## REMARKS

In response to a telephone call from Examiner Stuart L. Hendrickson on May 29, 2007 reporting that the description of priority in the application was insufficient, the Applicants have amended paragraph [0001] to reflect that the parent U.S. patent application is now a U.S. patent and to specify the claim to foreign priority.

The foreign priority documents were included in the file of Application No. 09/897,726 a fact that is confirmed by the Office Action Summary for the Office Action dated June 21, 2006. Moreover, attached is a copy of the Claim for Priority Under 35 U.S.C. § 119 in Application No. 09/897,726, the first page of the priority document, and the postcard acknowledging receipt thereof.

In view of the foregoing remarks and amendments, it is urged this case is now in condition for allowance.

 $\mathbf{B}\mathbf{y}$ 

Respectfully submitted,

THE WEBB LAW FIRM

David C. Hanson, Reg. No. 23,024

Attorney for Applicants 700 Koppers Building 436 Seventh Avenue Pittsburgh, PA 15219

Telephone: 412-471-8815 Facsimile: 412-471-4094

E-Mail: webblaw@webblaw.com